arXiv:hep-th/9708120v1 22 Aug 1997

Characters and modular properties of permutation orbifolds

Peter Bantay

Institute for Theoretical Physics
Rolland Eötvös University, Budapest

Abstract Explicit formulae describing the genus one characters and modular transformation properties of permutation orbifolds of arbitrary Rational Conformal Field Theories are presented, and their relation to the theory of covering surfaces is investigated.

If $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a Rational Conformal Field Theory, its n-th tensor power $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ is straightforward to describe for any positive integer n, e.g. the primary fields are just n-tuples of primaries of $\mathcal{C}$, and their (genus one) characters are simply the product of the corresponding $\mathcal{C}$ characters. An interesting feature of these theories is that any permutation $x \in S_n$ of the n "replicas" is a global symmetry of $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$, so it is possible to orbifoldize $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ by any permutation group $\Omega < S_n$. For reasons to become clear soon, we shall denote the resulting permutation orbifold by $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$.

The first systematic investigation of permutation orbifolds has been performed in [1] (see also [2]). Permutation orbifold techniques have been applied in [3] to compute the free energy of second quantized strings. Recently, a detailed analysis of cyclic permutation orbifolds, i.e. the case $\Omega = \mathbf{Z}_n$ for prime n, has been presented in [4], where the explicit form of the genus one characters and their modular properties can be found for $\Omega = \mathbf{Z}_2$. The aim of the present paper is to generalize the above results to arbitrary - possibly nonabelian - Ω, and to understand the underlying geometry. We shall only sketch the main results, their derivation being left to a future publication.

The basic observation, which lies behind most of the results to be presented, is that if $\Omega_1 \wr \Omega_2$ denotes the wreath product of the permutation groups Ω_1 and Ω_2 (c.f. [5]), then the $\Omega_1 \wr \Omega_2$ permutation orbifold of $\mathcal{C}$ is nothing but the Ω_2 permutation orbifold of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega_1$, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega_1) \wr \Omega_2 = \mathcal{C} \wr (\Omega_1 \wr \Omega_2)\,. \tag{1}$$

This property, which explains our choice for the notation, is a straigthforward consequence of the definition of the wreath product. In particular if $\mathcal{C}$ is holomorphic, i.e. it has only one primary with respect to the maximally extended chiral algebra - e.g. the E_8 WZNW model at level 1 -, the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ is a holomorphic orbifold model, whose properties are described by the double $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ of the group Ω [6, 7].

A most important consequence of Eq. (1) is the following description of the primary field content of permutation orbifolds : the primary fields of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the pairs $\langle p, \phi \rangle$, where p is some representative of an orbit of Ω acting on the n-tuples $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ of primaries p_i of $\mathcal{C}$, while ϕ

is an irreducible character of the double $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_p)$ of the stabilizer

$$\Omega_p = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, xp = p\}$$

of the n-tuple p.

If, as usual, 0 denotes the vacuum of $\mathcal{C}$, then the vacuum of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ - which we shall also denote by 0 in the sequel - corresponds to the pair $\langle 0, \phi_0 \rangle$, where $[0]$ is the one point orbit $\langle 0, \ldots, 0 \rangle$ whose stabilizer is obviously Ω itself, while $\phi_0(x, y) = \delta_{x,1}$ is the trivial character of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

To write down explicitly the characters of the orbifold theory $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$, we have to introduce some notation. First, for a primary p of $\mathcal{C}$, we'll denote by $\chi_p(\tau)$ its genus one character, and by

$$\omega_p = \exp\left(2\pi\imath(\Delta_p - \frac{c}{24})\right)$$

its exponentiated conformal weight, so that

$$\chi_p(\tau + 1) = \omega_p \chi_p(\tau).$$

For a pair $x, y \in \Omega$ of commuting permutations, we shall denote by $\mathcal{O}(x, y)$ the set of orbits of the subgroup generated by x and y. To each ordered triple $\langle x, y, \xi \rangle$ with $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)$, we associate the following data :

1. λ_ξ (resp. λ^*_ξ) is the length of any x orbit (resp. y orbit) contained in ξ
2. μ_ξ (resp. μ^*_ξ) is the number of the x orbits (resp. y orbits)
3. κ_ξ (resp. κ^*_ξ) denotes the smallest non-negative integer for which $y^{\mu_\xi} = x^{\kappa_\xi}$ (resp. $x^{\mu^*_\xi} = y^{\kappa^*_\xi}$) holds on the points of ξ.

These quantities are not independent, they are connected by the following relations :

$$\lambda_\xi \mu_\xi = \lambda^*_\xi \mu^*_\xi = |\,\xi\,|$$
$$\mu^*_\xi = \gcd(\lambda_\xi, \kappa_\xi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_\xi = \gcd(\lambda^*_\xi, \kappa^*_\xi) \tag{2}$$

where $|\,\xi\,|$ is the length of the orbit ξ, and $\gcd(a, b)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of the integers a and b.

For an n-tuple $p = \langle p_1, \dots p_n \rangle$ of primaries of $\mathcal{C}$ let's introduce the quantity

$$\chi_p(x, y \,|\, \tau) = \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)} \omega_{p_\xi}^{-\kappa_\xi/\lambda_\xi} \chi_{p_\xi}(\tau_\xi) & \text{if } x, y \in \Omega_p \text{ commute,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\tau_\xi = \frac{\mu_\xi \tau + \kappa_\xi}{\lambda_\xi} \tag{4}$$

and p_ξ denotes the component of p associated to the orbit ξ (which is well-defined since $x, y \in \Omega_p$). Then the character of the primary $\langle p, \phi \rangle$ of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ reads

$$\chi_{\langle p, \phi \rangle}(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\,\Omega_p\,|} \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} \chi_p(x, y \,|\, \tau) \bar{\phi}(x, y) \tag{5}$$

Note that this formula is meaningful, i.e. it does not depend on the actual representative p of the orbit, since for all $z \in \Omega$

$$\chi_{zp}(x, y \,|\, \tau) = \chi_p(x^z, y^z \,|\, \tau) \tag{6}$$

In the special case $\Omega = \mathbf{Z}_n$ we recover the results of [4] for the characters of cyclic permutation orbifolds of prime order.

The geometry underlying the Eq.(3) is clear. If E_τ denotes a torus of modular parameter τ, then a commuting pair $x, y \in \Omega$ determines an n-sheeted unramified covering of E_τ, namely x (resp. y) describes how the sheets are permuted when going around the a-cycle (resp. b-cycle) of a canonical homology basis. This covering is usually not connected, its connected components being in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, each such connected component is itself a torus E_ξ, whith modular parameter τ_ξ given by Eq. (4).

In particular, if $Z(\tau)$ denotes the partition function of $\mathcal{C}$, the partition function Z_Ω of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ reads

$$Z_\Omega(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\,\Omega\,|} \sum_{xy=yx} \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)} Z(\tau_\xi). \tag{7}$$

Once we know the explicit expression for the characters of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$, we can compute their behavior under modular transformations. Consider for example the S transformation

$$\tau \mapsto \tau' = -\frac{1}{\tau} \tag{8}$$

The modular parameter of the covering torus corresponding to the orbit $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)$ will change accordingly

$$\tau_\xi \mapsto \tau'_\xi = \frac{\mu_\xi \tau' + \kappa_\xi}{\lambda_\xi} = \frac{\kappa_\xi \tau - \mu_\xi}{\lambda_\xi \tau} \tag{9}$$

Besides acting on the modular parameter, S also transforms the cycles in the canonical homology basis, thus changing the monodromy of the covering. In the case at hand, this means that it transforms the pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ into the pair $\langle y, x^{-1} \rangle$. This last pair generates the same subgroup as x and y, so that $\mathcal{O}(x,y) = \mathcal{O}(y,x^{-1})$, but the covering torus corresponding to the triple $\langle y, x^{-1}, \xi \rangle$ has modular parameter

$$\tilde{\tau}_\xi = \frac{\mu^*_\xi \tau - \kappa^*_\xi}{\lambda^*_\xi} \tag{10}$$

Clearly, τ'_ξ and $\tilde{\tau}_\xi$ should be related by a modular transformation :

$$\tau'_\xi = \frac{a_\xi \tilde{\tau}_\xi + b_\xi}{c_\xi \tilde{\tau}_\xi + d_\xi} \tag{11}$$

for some $S_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} a_\xi & b_\xi \\ c_\xi & d_\xi \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$, which - by comparing Eqs. (9) and (10) - is easely seen to be

$$S_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\kappa_\xi}{\mu^*_\xi} & \dfrac{\kappa_\xi \kappa^*_\xi - \mu_\xi \mu^*_\xi}{|\,\xi\,|} \\ & \\ \dfrac{\lambda_\xi}{\mu^*_\xi} & \dfrac{\kappa^*_\xi}{\mu_\xi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

If we introduce the notation

$$\Lambda_{pq}(M) = \omega_p^{-a/c} M_{pq} \omega_q^{-d/c} \tag{13}$$

for any $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ with $c \neq 0$, then one checks that

$$\chi_p\left(x, y \,|\, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sum_q \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)} \Lambda_{p_\xi q_\xi}\left(S_\xi\right) \chi_q(y, x^{-1} \,|\, \tau) \tag{14}$$

which leads to the following formula for the matrix elements of the transformation S in the orbifold theory $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$:

$$S_{\langle p,\phi \rangle}^{\langle q,\psi \rangle} = \frac{1}{|\Omega_p||\Omega_q|} \sum_{\substack{z \in \Omega \\ x,y \in \Omega_p \cap \Omega_{zq}}} \bar{\phi}(x,y) \bar{\psi}(y^z, x^z) \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)} \Lambda_{p_\xi}^{(zq)_\xi}(S_\xi) \tag{15}$$

As an example, consider the case $x = y = (1,2)$. Then an orbit $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,y)$ has either length $|\,\xi\,| = 1$, in which case $S_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda(S_\xi) = S$, or $|\,\xi\,| = 2$, in which case $S_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\Lambda(S_\xi) = T^{-1/2}S^{-1}T^{-2}ST^{-1/2} = T^{1/2}ST^2ST^{1/2} \tag{16}$$

the latter equality being a consequence of the modular relation $TSTST = S$. This is in complete agreement with the results of [4] for the S-matrix of $\mathbf{Z}_2$ permutation orbifolds.

An important characteristic of a primary field is its S-matrix element with the vacuum of the theory. From Eq. (15) we get

$$S_{0\langle p,\phi\rangle} = \frac{1}{|\,\Omega_p\,|} \sum_{x\in\Omega_p} \phi(x,1) \prod_{\xi\in\mathcal{O}(x,1)} S_{0p_\xi} \tag{17}$$

since for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x,1)$ we have $S_\xi = S$.

Let's turn to the conformal weights, which can be determined from the behavior of the characters under the modular transformation $T : \tau \mapsto \tau + 1$. We have

$$\chi_p\,(x,y\,|\,\tau+1) = \chi_p(x,xy\,|\,\tau) \prod_{\xi\in\mathcal{O}(x,y)} \omega_{p_\xi}^{\mu_\xi/\lambda_\xi} \tag{18}$$

But it is straightforward to show that $\prod_{\xi\in\mathcal{O}(x,y)} \omega_{p_\xi}^{\mu_\xi/\lambda_\xi}$ is independent of y, so finally one gets

$$\chi_{\langle p,\phi\rangle}(\tau+1) = \omega_{\langle p,\phi\rangle}\chi_{\langle p,\phi\rangle}(\tau) \tag{19}$$

where

$$\omega_{\langle p,\phi\rangle} = \frac{1}{d_\phi} \sum_{x\in\Omega_p} \phi(x,x) \prod_{\xi\in\mathcal{O}(x,1)} \omega_{p_\xi}^{1/|\xi|} \tag{20}$$

is the exponentiated conformal weight

$$\omega_{\langle p,\phi\rangle} = \exp\left(2\pi\imath(\Delta_{\langle p,\phi\rangle} - \frac{nc}{24})\right) \tag{21}$$

of the primary $\langle p,\phi\rangle$ of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$, and $d_\phi = \sum_{x\in\Omega_p} \phi(x,1)$.

This concludes our presentation of the structure of permutation orbifolds. We have seen that the characters of these theories are completely determined by the characters of the original theory and the action of the twist group. The basic characteristics of the orbifold theory, such as the matrix elements of the modular transformations T and S have been written down explicitly. These latter determine through Verlinde's formula [8] the fusion rules of the permutation orbifold. The connection with the theory of covering surfaces opens the way to the computation of arbitrary correlation functions [9, 10, 11]. Of course there's still much to be done, e.g. one would like to have explicit expressions like Eqs. (15) and (20) for the fusion rules and the Frobenius-Schur indicators [12].

Acknowledgement : It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with Zalán Horváth, Geoff Mason, László Palla and Christoph Schweigert.

References

[1] A. Klemm and M. G. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. B**245** (1990), 53.

[2] J. Fuchs, A. Klemm and M. G. Schmidt, Ann. Phys. **214** (1992), 221.

[3] R. Dijkgraaf, G. Moore, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 197.

[4] L. Borisov, M. B. Halpern and C. Schweigert : Systematic Approach to Cyclic Orbifolds, hep-th/9701061.

[5] A. Kerber : Representations of Permutation Groups I-II, Springer 1971.

[6] R. Dijkgraaf, V. Pasquier and P.Roche, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **18B** (1990), 60.

[7] P.Bantay, Phys. Lett. B**245** (1990), 477; Lett. Math. Phys. **22** (1991), 187.

[8] E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B**300** (1988), 360.

[9] S. Hamidi and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B**279** (1987), 465.

[10] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B**282** (1987), 13.

[11] J. J. Atick, L. J. Dixon, P. A. Griffin and D. D. Nemeschansky, Nucl. Phys. B**298** (1988), 1.

[12] P. Bantay, Phys. Lett. B**394** (1997), 87.